	FEB 12	2007 2007	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER FO P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ADEM	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,429	02/15/2001	Eric D. Edwards	50N3690.01/1581	5071
24272 7590 01/18/2007 Gregory J. Koerner			EXAMINER	
Redwood Paten 1291 East Hillso	t Law	VIEAUX, GARY		
Suite 205		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Foster City, CA 94404			2622	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

01/18/2007

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

30 DAYS

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
F	Notice of Non-Compliant	09/784,429	EDWARDS ET AL.			
	Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner				
		Gary C. Vieaux	2622 FEB 1 2 2007			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
ıeqı	amendment document filed on <u>11 December 2006</u> uirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the an(s) is required.	is considered non-compliant beca mendment document to be compli	ant, correction of the following			
THE	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be und  C. Other	e markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
	2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3     B. Other	37 CFR 1.72				
٠.	<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>					
		e the text of all pending claims (inclith the proper status identifier, and Note: the status of every claim mug status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn have not been presented in ascer	as such, the individual status st be indicated after its claim rently amended), (Canceled), awn-currently amended).  adding numerical order.			
	<del></del>					
For	further explanation of the amendment format require	red by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	§ 714.			
TIM	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOT	TICE:	•			
1.	Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-cofiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	nit the non-compliant after-final am				
2.	Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.					
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFF amendment or an amendment filed in response		it amendment is a non-final			
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will res Abandonment of the application if the non-c		Il amendment or an amendment			

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental

amendment.

filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Per MPEP 714:02, the reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The blanket statement by Applicant of "newly added claims 48-56 contain a number of limitations that are not taught or suggested in the references" does not equate to "a pointing out of the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over any applied references" as required by MPEP 714.02.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER